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#### Abstract

Given $n$ points in the plane, a covering tree is a tree whose edges are line segments that jointly cover all the points. Let $G_{n}^{d}$ be a $n \times \cdots \times n$ grid in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. It is known that $G_{n}^{3}$ can be covered by an axis-aligned polygonal path with $\frac{3}{2} n^{2}+O(n)$ edges, thus in particular by a polygonal tree with that many edges. Here we show that every covering tree for the $n^{3}$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$ has at least $\left(1+c_{3}\right) n^{2}$ edges, for some constant $c_{3}>0$. On the other hand, there exists a covering tree for the $n^{3}$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$ consisting of only $n^{2}+n+1$ line segments, where each segment is either a single edge or a sequence of collinear edges. Extensions of these problems to higher dimensional grids (i.e., $G_{n}^{d}$ for $d \geq 3$ ) are also examined.


## 1 Introduction

Let $S$ be a set of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. A covering tree for $S$ is a tree $T$ drawn in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with straight-line edges such that every point in $S$ is a vertex of $T$ or lies on an edge of $T$. Similarly, a covering path for $S$ is a polygonal path $P$ drawn in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with straight-line edges such that every point in $S$ is a vertex of $P$ or lies on an edge of $P$. In this paper we study covering trees and paths for grids in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Let the grid $G_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}}$ denote the set of points with integer coordinates (i.e., grid points) in the hypercube $\left[1, n_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[1, n_{d}\right]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For simplicity we write $G_{n}^{d}$ for the symmetric grid $G_{n, \ldots, n} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. In this paper we restrict ourselves to symmetric grids.
For the square grid $G_{n}^{2}$ in the plane, Kranakis et al. [6] showed that every axis-aligned covering path has at least $2 n-1$ edges (a.k.a. links), and this bound can be attained. If one allows edges of arbitrary orientation in the path, Collins [4] showed that the number of links can be reduced by one: every covering path for the $n^{2}$ points of $G_{n}^{2}$ has at least $2 n-2$ edges, and again, this bound can be attained. Recently Keszegh [5] has extended this result to covering trees: every covering tree for the $n^{2}$ points of $G_{n}^{2}$ has at least $2 n-2$ edges; again, this bound can be attained.

[^0]Further, it is known [3, 6] that $G_{n}^{3}$ can be covered by an axis-aligned polygonal path with $\frac{3}{2} n^{2}+O(n)$ edges, thus in particular by a polygonal tree with that many edges. For paths, this bound is tight up to the lower order term [1]. Moving to higher dimensions, it is known [1] that $G_{n}^{d}$ can be covered by an axis-aligned polygonal path with $\left(1+\frac{1}{d-1}\right) n^{d-1}+O\left(n^{d-3 / 2}\right)$ edges, thus in particular by a polygonal tree with that many edges; on the other hand, any axis-aligned polygonal path must consist of at least $\left(1+\frac{1}{d}\right) n^{d-1}-O\left(n^{d-2}\right)$ edges.

The problem of estimating the number of links needed in a covering path for the grid $G_{n}^{d}$ appears in the collection of research problems by Braß, Moser, and Pach [2, Ch. 10.2] and in the survey article by Maheshwari et al. [7].

In this paper we investigate whether better bounds can be obtained if one allows edges of arbitrary directions in the respective covering paths or trees; no such results are known. We start with dimension 3, i.e., $d=3$. Since every line segment (moreover, every line) covers at most $n$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$, it trivially follows that every covering tree for the $n^{3}$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$ has at least $n^{3} / n=n^{2}$ edges. Here we show that this ideal situation is not realizable, that is, every covering tree for $G_{n}^{3}$ requires $\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$ additional edges beyond the trivial lower bound of $n^{2}$. In particular, every covering path for the $n^{3}$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$ requires $\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$ additional edges beyond the trivial lower bound of $n^{2}$. This gives partial answers to two questions raised by Keszegh [5].

Theorem 1 Let $n \geq 10^{3}$. Every covering tree for the $n^{3}$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$ has at least $1.0025 n^{2}$ edges. In particular, every covering path for the $n^{3}$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$ has at least $1.0025 n^{2}$ edges.

Our bound is quite far from the current upper bound of $\frac{3}{2} n^{2}+O(n)$, which we suspect is closer to the truth. Slightly smaller multiplicative constant factors can be deduced for small $n\left(n \leq 10^{3}\right)$ and slightly larger multiplicative constant factors can be deduced for larger $n$. The result in Theorem 1 can be extended to arbitrary fixed dimension $d$ using similar methods; we omit the details.

Theorem 2 Every covering tree for the $n^{d}$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$ has at least $\left(1+c_{d}\right) n^{2}$ edges, where $c_{d}>0$ is a constant depending only on $d$.

Minimizing the number of line segments. Instead of minimizing the number of edges in a covering tree, one can try to minimize the number of line segments, where each segment is either a single edge or a sequence of several collinear edges of the tree. Equivalently, one would like to determine the minimum number of segments in a connected arrangement ${ }^{1}$ of segments that contains all points of $G_{n}^{d}$. Indeed, the segments of a covering tree form a connected arrangement; and an appropriate spanning tree of a connected arrangement of segments gives a covering tree for $G_{n}^{d}$.

The trivial lower bound of $n^{d-1}$ also applies to the number of line segments in a covering tree. For $n=2$, the trivial lower bound is tight, as the vertices of the hypercube $G_{2}^{d}$ can be covered by $2^{d-1}$ diagonals that meet at the center. For $n \geq 3$, we show that every connected arrangement of segments that covers $G_{n}^{d}$ requires $\Omega\left(n^{d-2}\right)$ additional segments beyond the trivial lower bound of $n^{d-1}$, and this bound is the best possible apart from constant factors.

Theorem 3 For every $d, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3$, every connected arrangement of line segments that contains $G_{n}^{d}$ has at least $n^{d-1}+c_{d}^{\prime} n^{d-2}$ segments, where $c_{d}^{\prime}>0$ is a constant depending only on $d$.

For every $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a connected arrangement of $\left(n^{d}-1\right) /(n-1)=n^{d-1}+n^{d-2}+\ldots+1$ segments that contain $G_{n}^{d}$; in particular, there exists a covering tree for $G_{n}^{d}$ with that many segments.

Conjectures. Kranakis et al. [6] conjectured that, for all $d \geq 3$, every axis-aligned covering path for $G_{n}^{d}$ consists of at least $\frac{d}{d-1} n^{d-1}-O\left(n^{d-2}\right)$ edges. As discussed above, the conjecture has been confirmed $[1,4,6]$ up to $d=3$. It can be further conjectured [2, Chapter 10.2, Conjecture 5] that every (not necessarily axis-aligned) covering path for $G_{n}^{d}$ consists of at least $\frac{d}{d-1} n^{d-1}-$ $O\left(n^{d-2}\right)$ edges. As discussed above, this stronger version has been only confirmed [5] up to $d=2$.

## 2 Minimizing the Number of Edges: Proof of Theorem 1

Let $T$ be a tree that covers the $n^{3}$ points of $G_{n}^{3}$. We can assume that $T$ is contained in $[-z, z]^{3}$ for some suitable $z>0$. Denote by $e(T)$ the number of edges in $T$. Clearly $T$ consists of at least $n^{2}$ edges. Let $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$ be two parameters we set with foresight to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=0.020 \text { and } \beta=0.874 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that an edge $e$ of $T$ is heavy if it covers at least $(1-\alpha) n$ points, and light otherwise. If the number of

[^1]heavy edges in $T$ is at most $\beta n^{2}$, then at least $(1-\beta) n^{2}$ edges of $T$ are light. So in this case we have
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
e(T) & \geq \beta n^{2}+\frac{(1-\beta) n^{3}}{(1-\alpha) n}=\left(\beta+\frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-\alpha)}\right) n^{2} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{\alpha(1-\beta)}{(1-\alpha)}\right) n^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Assume next that the number of heavy edges in $T$ is at least $\beta n^{2}$. We distinguish several cases, depending on the numbers of various types of heavy edges present in $T$. Observe that heavy edges can be of three types (indeed, edges with consecutive points at distance larger than 2 don't contain enough points to qualify as being heavy):

Type 1: consecutive points are at distance 1, thus the corresponding segments are axis-aligned, so these edges have 3 possible directions.

Type 2: consecutive points are at distance $\sqrt{2}$, thus the corresponding segments are diagonal in axisorthogonal planes $x o y, x o z$, and $y o z$. Such edges have 6 possible directions, two in each of the 3 axis-orthogonal planes.

Type 3: consecutive points are at distance $\sqrt{3}$, thus the corresponding segments are diagonals in 3 -space. Such edges have 4 possible directions.

Observation 1 Let e be a non-vertical edge of $T$ with an endpoint in the rectangular box $B=[a, n-a+1] \times$ $[a, n-a+1] \times[-z, z]$. Then $e$ covers at most $n-a$ points.

Let $\beta=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}$, where
$\beta_{1}=\beta-12.45 \alpha-6.45 \alpha^{2}, \quad \beta_{2}=12.45 \alpha, \quad \beta_{3}=6.45 \alpha^{2}$.
Overall, heavy edges can have 13 possible directions. We distinguish 3 possible cases and at least one of them must occur:

Case 1. There are at least $\beta_{1} n^{2}$ heavy edges of type 1.
Case 2. There are at least $\beta_{2} n^{2}$ heavy edges of type 2 .
Case 3. There are at least $\beta_{3} n^{2}$ heavy edges of type 3 .
We proceed with the case analysis:
Case 1: There are at least $\beta_{1} n^{2}$ heavy edges of type 1 . Since edges of type 1 have 3 possible directions, there are at least $\beta_{1} n^{2} / 3$ heavy edges with the same direction. For convenience assume that these edges are vertical. Obviously, the vertical lines supporting these edges are all distinct.

Put $^{2} a=\lfloor\alpha n\rfloor$. Observe that the number of vertical grid lines through points of $G_{n}^{3} \backslash[a, n-a+1] \times$ $[a, n-a+1] \times[1, n]$ is at most $4 a(n-a) \leq 4 a n$. The supporting vertical lines of at most $4 a n=4 \alpha n^{2}$ heavy edges intersect the border of width $a$ of $[1, n] \times[1, n]$; see


Figure 1: The border of width $a=\lfloor\alpha n\rfloor$ in $G_{n}^{3}$ (drawn shaded) in view from the top; the figure is not to scale.

Fig. 1. It follows that the remaining $\left(\beta_{1} / 3-4 \alpha\right) n^{2}$ vertical heavy edges are on vertical lines in the rectangular box $B=[a, n-a+1] \times[a, n-a+1] \times[-z, z]$.

Consider a standard top-down representation of the tree $T$ with the root at the top. Color each vertical heavy edge lying in the box $B$ blue. Since blue edges are parallel, no two share a common tree vertex. Since $T$ is connected, by Observation 1, each blue edge is adjacent to a light edge of $T$. Uniquely charge each blue edge in $T$ to the unique light edge adjacent to it on the upward path to the root of $T$. This charging can be applied to all blue edges except possibly to one blue edge incident to the root, if any. Since the number of blue edges is quadratic in $n$, this possible exception can be ignored in the counting.

It follows that at least $\left(\beta_{1} / 3-4 \alpha\right) n^{2}$ edges of $T$ are light covering at most $(1-\alpha) n$ points. The worst case is when equality occurs, i.e., $\left(\beta_{1} / 3-4 \alpha\right) n^{2}$ edges can cover at most $(1-\alpha) n$ points each. The remaining points can be covered at the rate of at most $n$ per edge. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
e(T) & \geq\left[1-\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{3}-4 \alpha\right)(1-\alpha)+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{3}-4 \alpha\right)\right] n^{2} \\
& =\left[1+\alpha\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{3}-4 \alpha\right)\right] n^{2} \\
& =\left[1+\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{3}-8.15 \alpha-2.15 \alpha^{2}\right)\right] n^{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2: There are at least $\beta_{2} n^{2}$ heavy edges of type 2. We show that this case cannot occur. Recall that edges of type 2 have 6 possible directions along diagonals of axis-orthogonal planes. For a fixed direction in a fixed axis-orthogonal plane, the number of heavy edges parallel to the main diagonal of that plane is at most $2 a+1$. Over all relevant directions and planes there are at most

$$
6 \cdot(2 a+1) n \leq 12 a n+6 n=12 \alpha n^{2}+6 n
$$

[^2]such edges. However $12 \alpha n^{2}+6 n<12.45 \alpha n^{2}=\beta_{2} n^{2}$, which contradicts the assumption in Case 2, so this case cannot occur.

Case 3: There are at least $\beta_{3} n^{2}$ heavy edges of type 3. We show that this case also cannot occur, either. Recall that edges of type 3 have 4 possible directions along space diagonals of $G_{n}^{3}$. For a fixed diagonal direction, the number of edges parallel to this direction and covering at least $n-a$ points is at most $3 \sum_{i=1}^{a} i+1=$ $\frac{3 a(a+1)}{2}+1$. Over all 4 directions there are at most

$$
4 \frac{3 a(a+1)}{2}+4=6 a(a+1)+4
$$

such edges. However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 a(a+1)+4 & =6 \alpha n(\alpha n+1)+4=6 \alpha^{2} n^{2}+6 \alpha n+4 \\
& <6.45 \alpha^{2} n^{2}=\beta_{3} n^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the assumption in Case 3, so this case also cannot occur.

To conclude the case analysis, observe that with our choice of parameters in (1), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\alpha(1-\beta)}{(1-\alpha)} \geq 0.0025, \text { and } \\
\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{3}-8.15 \alpha-2.15 \alpha^{2}\right) \geq 0.0025 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking into account (2) and (3), it follows that $e(T) \geq$ $1.0025 n^{2}$, as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

## 3 Minimizing the Number of Segments: Proof of Theorem 3

A general upper bound. For every $d, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, we construct a covering tree $T(n, d)$ for $G_{n}^{d}$ with

$$
\frac{n^{d}-1}{n-1}=n^{d-1}+n^{d-2}+\ldots+1
$$

segments. We proceed by induction on $d$. Refer to Fig. 2, middle. For $d=1$, the $n$ points of $G_{n}^{1}$ are collinear, and can be covered by a tree (path) with one line segment, denoted $T(n, 1)$. For $d \geq 2$, note that $G_{n}^{d}$ is the union of $n$ translated copies of $G_{n}^{d-1}$, lying in the hyperplanes $x_{d}=1,2, \ldots, n$. Consider the covering tree $T(n, d-1)$ for the copy of $G_{n}^{d-1}$ in the hyperplane $x_{d}=1$. Extend this tree to a covering tree $T(n, d)$ for $G_{n}^{d}$ by adding a segment parallel to the $x_{d}$-axis to each point of $G_{n}^{d-1}$. The number of segments in $T(n, d)$ is $n^{d-1}+\left(n^{d-2}+\ldots+1\right)$, as claimed.


Figure 2: Covering trees for $G_{2}^{3}, G_{3}^{3}$, and $G_{4}^{3}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The special case of hypercubes. For $n=2, G_{2}^{d}$ is the vertex set of a $d$-dimensional hypercube; see Fig. 2, left. The $2^{d-1}$ space diagonals of the hypercube cover all $2^{d}$ vertices. These diagonals meet at the center of the hypercube, and so they form a covering tree (namely, a star). Since no three points in $G_{2}^{d}$ are collinear, every covering tree consists of at least $2^{d} / 2=2^{d-1}$ segments. Hence the covering tree above is optimal with respect to the number of segments.

Improved construction for $n$ even. For $n \geq 3$, the grid $G_{n}^{d}$ no longer admits a covering tree with only $n^{d-1}$ segments. However, the special case of the hypercubes suggests an improved construction for $n$ even. The key observation is that when $n$ is even, the $2^{d-1}$ space diagonals of $G_{n}^{d}$ meet in a single point, and the intersection point is not in $G_{n}^{d}$.

For every $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $d \geq 3$ and $n$ even, we construct a covering tree $T^{\prime}(n, d)$ for $G_{n}^{d}$ with

$$
\frac{n^{d}-1}{n-1}-2^{d-1}+d
$$

segments. We proceed by induction on $d$. For $d=3$, the grid $G_{n}^{3}$ consists of $n$ disjoint copies of $G_{n}^{2}$ in $n$ horizontal planes $z=1,2, \ldots, n$. Cover the $n^{2}$ points of $G_{n}^{2}$ in the plane $z=1$ by a tree with $n+1$ segments that consists of $n$ parallel segments and a diagonal of $G_{n}^{2}$. (Refer to Fig. 2, right.) In each of the other $n-1$ horizontal copies of $G_{n}^{2}$, the two main diagonals cover $2 n$ points, and a single vertical segment connects these pairs of diagonals to a point in the plane $z=1$ (using $2(n-1)+1=2 n-1$ segments). We still need to cover $n^{2}-2 n$ off-diagonal points in each of $n-1$ horizontal copies of $G_{n}^{2}$. We cover these points by $n^{2}-2 n$ vertical line segments, each of which is attached to the tree in the plane $z=1$. We obtain a covering tree $T^{\prime}(n, 3)$ with

$$
(n+1)+(2 n-1)+\left(n^{2}-2 n\right)=n^{2}+n
$$

segments.

For $d \geq 4$, the grid $G_{n}^{d}$ consists of $n$ disjoint copies of $G_{n}^{d-1}$ that lie in parallel hyperplanes $x_{d}=1,2, \ldots, n$. Cover the copy of $G_{n}^{2}$ in the hyperplane $x_{d}=1$ by a covering tree $T^{\prime}(n, d-1)$. In each of the other $n-1$ parallel copies of $G_{n}^{d-1}$, the $2^{d-2}$ main diagonals cover $2^{d-2} n$ points. A single segment parallel to the $x_{d}$-axis connects the stars formed by these diagonals to an arbitrary point in the hyperplane $x_{d}=1$. The remaining $n^{d-1}-2^{d-2} n$ off-diagonal points in each of these $n-1$ copies of $G_{n}^{2}$ are covered by $n^{d-1}-2^{d-2} n$ segments parallel to the $x_{d}$-axis. The total number of segments in the resulting covering tree $T^{\prime}(n, d)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(n^{d-2}+\ldots+1\right)-2^{d-2}+(d-1)\right) \\
& +\left(2^{d-2}(n-1)+1\right)+\left(n^{d-1}-2^{d-2} n\right) \\
= & \left(n^{d-1}+\ldots+1\right)-2^{d-2}+(d-1)-2^{d-2}+1 \\
= & \left(n^{d-1}+\ldots+1\right)-2^{d-1}+d,
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed. This completes the induction step for the construction of $T^{\prime}(n, d)$. Note that for $d=3$, the two expressions match, i.e.,

$$
n^{2}+n=\left(n^{d-1}+\ldots+1\right)-2^{d-1}+d
$$

Lower bound. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a connected arrangement of line segments in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ that contains all points of the grid $G_{n}^{d}$. The following greedy procedure orders the segments in $\mathcal{L}$ from 1 to $m=|\mathcal{L}|$. Let $\ell_{1}$ be an arbitrary segment in $\mathcal{L}$ that contains the maximum number of points of $G_{n}^{d}$, say $n_{1}=\ell_{1} \cap G_{n}^{d}$. For $i=2, \ldots, m$, let $\ell_{i}$ be a segment in $\mathcal{L} \backslash\left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{i-1}\right\}$ that meets one of the previous segments $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{i-1}$ and contains the maximum number, say $n_{i}$, of uncovered points, that is, points in $G_{n}^{d} \backslash\left(\ell_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \ell_{i-1}\right)$. By construction, we have $n^{d}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}$.

A covering tree $T$ has two types of vertices: (1) vertices that lie at points of $G_{n}^{d}$ and (2) Steiner points that are not in $G_{n}^{d}$. The following proposition indicates that Steiner points play a crucial role in minimizing the number of segments in a covering tree.

Proposition 4 If every vertex of a covering tree $T$ for $G_{n}^{d}$ is a point in $G_{n}^{d}$, then $T$ has at least $\frac{n^{d}-1}{n-1}=n^{d-1}+$ $n^{d-2}+\ldots+1$ segments. This bound is the best possible.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of line segments in $T$, ordered by the greedy procedure above. Every line segment contains at most $n$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$, hence $n_{i} \leq n$ for all $i$. For $i \geq 2$, however, the intersection point of $\ell_{i}$ with a previous segment is a point in $G_{n}^{d}$, which is already covered by some previous segment. Consequently, $n_{i} \leq n-1$ for $i=2, \ldots, m$. That is, $n^{d}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \leq m(n-1)+1$, and so $m \geq\left(n^{d}-1\right) /(n-1)$, as required.

The tightness of the bound follows from the general upper bound given in the first paragraph of this section.

To derive a lower bound on the number of segments in an arbitrary covering tree, we introduce some terminology in relation to $G_{n}^{d}$. We say that a line in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is heavy if it contains more than $\lceil n / 2\rceil$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$, and it is full if it contains $n$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$. Let $B=\prod_{i=1}^{d}[1, n]$ denote the bounding box of $G_{n}^{d}$. We need a few easy observations.

## Observation 2

1. Every full line for $G_{n}^{d}$ contains a diagonal of a copy of $G_{n}^{k}$ within $G_{n}^{d}$, for some $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ (the diagonals of a copy of $G_{n}^{1}$ are axis-parallel).
2. Every full line for $G_{n}^{d}$ is either axis-parallel or contained in one of $2\binom{d}{2}$ hyperplanes of the form $x_{i}-x_{j}=0$ or $x_{i}+x_{j}=n+1$, where $1 \leq i<j \leq d$.
3. Every heavy line is parallel to a full line, and every axis-parallel heavy line is full.

For the charging scheme in the proof of Theorem 3, we need to control the number of full lines that intersect a single line segment.

Proposition 5 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be a constant.

1. Every line in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ intersects $O(n)$ full lines for $G_{n}^{d}$.
2. Every heavy line for $G_{n}^{d}$ contains at most one Steiner point that is not in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ but lies on some other full line for $G_{n}^{d}$.
3. A heavy line containing $n-a$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$ intersects $O(a)$ full lines at Steiner points in $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash G_{n}^{d}$.
4. Every Steiner point lies on at most $2^{d-1}$ full lines.

Proof. (1) By Observation 2, the full lines have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{d}\binom{d}{k} 2^{k-1}=\frac{3^{d}-1}{2}
$$

different orientations, and so they can be partitioned into $\left(3^{d}-1\right) / 2$ families of parallel lines. Every line $\ell$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ meets at most $n$ full lines from each parallel family, thus $O(n)$ full lines overall.
(2) Assume that a heavy line $\ell$ intersects a full line $\ell^{\prime}$ and the intersection point $\ell \cap \ell^{\prime}$ is not in the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. The point $\ell \cap \ell^{\prime}$ lies in some unit cube $\sigma$ spanned by $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ (where $\ell \cap \ell^{\prime}$ is either in the interior or on the boundary of $\sigma$ ). By assumption, $\ell \cap \ell^{\prime}$ is not a vertex of $\sigma$, hence both $\ell \cap \sigma$ and $\ell^{\prime} \cap \sigma$ are line segments. By Observation 2(3), the heavy line $\ell$ is parallel to a full line, which is the diagonal of a copy of $G_{n}^{k}$ in $G_{n}^{d}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq d$. Therefore, $\ell$ contains a diagonal of a $k$-dimensional face of $\sigma$, and similarly $\ell^{\prime}$ contains the diagonal of a $k^{\prime}$-dimensional face of $\sigma$ for some $1 \leq k^{\prime} \leq$ $d$. However, the diagonals of any two different faces of the unit cube $\sigma$ are either disjoint or meet at a vertex of $\sigma$. Consequently, both $\ell$ and $\ell^{\prime}$ contain diagonals of the same $k$-dimensional face of $\sigma$. The full line $\ell^{\prime}$ must be a diagonal of the copy of $G_{n}^{k} \subseteq G_{n}^{d}$ that spans this particular $k$-face of $\sigma$. That is, both $\ell$ and $\ell^{\prime}$ lie in the same copy of $G_{n}^{k} \subseteq G_{n}^{d}$, and $\ell$ has a unique intersection point $\ell \cap \ell^{\prime}$ with the diagonals of this copy of $G_{n}^{k}$.
(3) Let $\ell$ be a heavy line passing through $n-a$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$, and let $\ell^{\prime}$ be a full line such that $\ell \cap \ell^{\prime}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash G_{n}^{d}$. Then every full line parallel to $\ell^{\prime}$ is either disjoint from $\ell$ or intersects $\ell$ in an integer point in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. The lines $\ell$ and $\ell^{\prime}$ span a 2 -dimensional plane $P$. The plane $P$ contains $n$ full lines parallel to $\ell^{\prime}$, but $n-a$ of them meet $\ell^{\prime}$ at points in $G_{n}^{d}$. Consequently, at most $a$ of these lines intersect $\ell$ outside of $G_{n}^{d}$. Summing over all $\left(3^{d}-1\right) / 2=O(1)$ directions of full lines, at most $O(a)$ full lines intersect $\ell$ at points in $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash G_{n}^{d}$.
(4) If two full lines meet in a Steiner point $p$, then $p$ is the center of a copy of $G_{n}^{k} \subset G_{n}^{d}$, for some $2 \leq k \leq d$. The only full lines incident to $p$ are the $2^{k-1} \leq 2^{d-1}$ diagonals of this copy of $G_{n}^{k}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a connected arrangement of line segments that cover $G_{n}^{d}$. Order the segments as $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{m}\right)$ by the greedy procedure described earlier. (That is, $\ell_{1}$ is a segment in $\mathcal{L}$ that contains the maximum number $n_{1}$ of points of $G_{n}^{d}$; and $\ell_{i}$, for $i=2, \ldots, m$, meets one of the previous segments and contains the maximum number $n_{i}$ of uncovered points of $G_{n}^{d}$.) We show that the average of $n_{i}$, the number of "new" points covered by segment $\ell_{i}$, is $n-\Omega(1)$. We distinguish three types of segments in $\mathcal{L}$.

- $\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left\{\ell_{i} \in \mathcal{L}: n_{i}=n\right\} ;$
- $\mathcal{L}_{2}=\left\{\ell_{i} \in \mathcal{L}:\lceil n / 2\rceil<n_{i}<n\right\}$;
- $\mathcal{L}_{3}=\left\{\ell_{i} \in \mathcal{L}: n_{i} \leq\lceil n / 2\rceil\right\}$.

Partition the sequence $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{m}\right)$ into maximal subsequences of consecutive elements such that each seg-
ment in $\mathcal{L}_{2} \cup \mathcal{L}_{3}$ is the first element of a subsequence.
Consider one such subsequence ( $\ell_{i}, \ldots, \ell_{i+k}$ ), where $k \geq 0$. By construction, $\ell_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{2} \cup \mathcal{L}_{3} \cup\left\{\ell_{1}\right\}$, and $\ell_{i+1}, \ldots, \ell_{i+k} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$. The full lines $\ell_{i+1}, \ldots, \ell_{i+k}$ each intersect a previous segment at a Steiner point. Due to the greedy ordering, they each intersect a previous segment from the same subsequence. By Proposition 5(2), a full line meets any other full lines at the same Steiner point. Consequently, every line $\ell_{i+1}, \ldots, \ell_{i+k}$ meets $\ell_{i}$ or a previous full line of the subsequence which meets $\ell_{i}$. Each full line that meets $\ell_{i}$ is responsible for at most $2^{d-1}$ other full lines (that do not meet $\ell_{i}$ ) by Proposition 5(4). Therefore, at least $k / 2^{d-1}=\Omega(k)$ full lines in $\ell_{i+1}, \ldots, \ell_{i+k}$ meet $\ell_{i}$ in Steiner points.

If $\ell_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$, then $\ell_{i}$ is contained in a heavy line, which contains $n-a$ points for some $0 \leq a<\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$. That is, $n_{i} \leq n-\max (1, a)$. By Proposition 5(3), $\ell_{i}$ meets at most $O(a+1)$ full lines in Steiner points (inside or outside of $B)$. This implies $k=O(a+1)$, and so the segments $\ell_{i}, \ldots, \ell_{i+k}$ contain an average of at most

$$
\frac{k n+n-\max (1, a)}{k+1}=n-\frac{\max (1, a)}{k+1}=n-\Omega(1)
$$

new points.
If $\ell_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{3}$, then $\ell_{i}$ contains at most $n / 2$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$ and it meets $O(n)$ full lines by Proposition 5(1). In this case, the segments $\ell_{i}, \ldots, \ell_{i+k}$ contain an average of at most

$$
\frac{k n+n / 2}{k+1}=n-\frac{n}{2(k+1)}=n-\Omega(1)
$$

new points.
Finally, if $\ell_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$, then the average of $n_{i}$ is $n$ in the very first subsequence. In this case, by Proposition 5(4), the full line $\ell_{1}$ meets at most $2^{d-1}-1$ other full lines in a Steiner point, so this special subsequence covers at most $2^{d-1} n$ points of $G_{n}^{d}$.
Consequently, the average of $n_{i}$ over all segments $\ell_{i} \in$ $\mathcal{L}$ is $n-\Omega(1)$ if $n \geq 3$. Now $n^{d}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}=m(n-\Omega(1))$ yields $m=n^{d-1}+\Omega\left(n^{d-2}\right)$, as claimed.

## 4 Conclusion

We conclude with a few open problems:

1. Does every covering path for $G_{n}^{3}$ require at least $\left(\frac{3}{2}-o(1)\right) n^{2}$ edges?
2. Does every covering tree for $G_{n}^{3}$ require at least $\left(\frac{3}{2}-o(1)\right) n^{2}$ edges?
3. Does every covering tree for $G_{n}^{3}$ require at least $n^{2}+n$ segments?
4. Does every covering tree for $G_{n}^{d}$ require at least $\frac{n^{d}-1}{n-1}-2^{O(d)}$ segments?
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